IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

THURSDAY, THE 29TH MARCH 2007 / 8TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 8734 of 2007(M)

PETITIONER:

T.V.RADHAKRISHNAN,
SECURITY DEPARTMENT, THE TRAVANCORE CEMENTS LTD,
NATTAKOM P.O., KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.JOSEKUTTY MATHEW

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
TRAVANCORE CEMENTS LTD., NATTAKOM PO KOTTAYAM.

2. PADMAKUMAR, M.H.DEPARTMENT,
RUNNING PLANT WORKER,
TRAVANCORE CEMENTS LTD NATTAKOM,
KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.B.S.KRISHNAN, SC, TRAVANCORE CEMENT
SRI.K.ANAND (A.201)
SMT.LATHA KRISHNAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J

W.P.(C)NO.8734 of 2007

Dated this the 29th day of March, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is an employee of a State Public Sector Undertaking and the first respondent is its Managing Director. The grievance of the petitioner pertains to allotment of official quarters. It is contended that overlooking his entitlement for allotment of quarters, the second respondent was preferred by the first respondent and it is also contended that such allotment is liable to be interfered by this Court. The petitioner has approached the first respondent by filing Ext.P5 representation. Counsel for the first respondent submits that the Company has laid down relevant criteria for allotment of quarters, and under that criteria, seniority is not the only factor to be taken note off. In the nature of the order, I propose to pass, notice need not be issued to respondent No.2.

2. Since Ext.P5 representation is pending with the first

respondent, it would be proper that the petitioner is informed of the position based on the above criteria, so that, he will know where he stands as far as his entitlement for allotment of quarters is concerned.

3. The writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to consider Ext.P5 and send appropriate reply to the petitioner, as early as possible, in any event, within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE

CSS

s